Committee: Cabinet Agenda Item

Date: 16 February 2012

Title: Medium Term Financial Strategy

Portfolio Councillor Robert Chambers Item for Holder: Decision

Summary

As part of the annual budget setting process, the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) is due to be considered by Full Council on 23 February.

- The Scrutiny Committee reviewed the MTFS on 7 February and endorsed the contents.
- 3 The MTFS shows the following:
 - The extreme difficulty in making reliable forecasts about Government funding from 2013.
 - Anticipated progressive replacement of core Formula Grant funding with New Homes Bonus.
 - There is a material financial uncertainty relating to the replacement of Council Tax Benefit with a localised system of Council Tax Discounts.
 - The Council has made substantial progress towards its target of reducing annual running costs (and/or increasing income) by £2m per annum by 2014
 - Inflationary pressures and possible funding reductions mean that the Council needs to find additional savings and income of up to £0.9m per annum by 2016/17.
 - The Strategic Solutions Workstreams have sufficient projects underway or planned to achieve the level of savings.
 - Subject to the uncertainties highlighted, the Council will be able to maintain reserves at a prudent level during the MTFS period.

Recommendations

The Cabinet is requested to approve, for recommendation to Full Council, the Medium Term Financial Strategy as set out in this report.

Financial Implications

5 Detailed in the report.

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 1 Item 13iv/2

Background Papers

MTFS approved by Full Council February 2011 MTFS update approved by Cabinet September 2011 Budget Strategy approved by Cabinet September 2011 Budget papers elsewhere on today's agenda

Impact

Communication/Consultation	None
Community Safety	None
Equalities	None
Health & Safety	None
Legal implications	It is a legal requirement to set a balanced budget
Sustainability	The MTFS is designed to ensure the financial stability and sustainability of the Council over the medium term
Ward-specific impacts	None
Workforce/Workplace	Some of the savings will have workforce implications

Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS)

- The MTFS complements the Corporate Plan by setting out the anticipated resources available to enable the delivery of corporate priorities. It predicts the costs that will be incurred and estimates available income, and thus quantifies the level of saving necessary to balance the budget.
- 7 The MTFS covers the Corporate Plan period on a rolling five-year basis and thus this updated MTFS is for the period 2012/13 to 2016/17.
- In keeping with the new 'one-page' format of the Corporate Plan, the MTFS is a briefer high level summary than its previous iterations. Its focus is the General Fund revenue budget, that is to say, budgets for all services except the provision of council housing. Council housing budgets are covered by the 30 year HRA business plan. Capital expenditure is also excluded as this is covered by the 5 year Capital Programme. The interactions between the HRA, Capital Programme and General Fund have been built into the MTFS projections.
- In a formal sense the MTFS is approved by the Council annually as part of the budget setting process, and undergoes a formal mid-year review by the Cabinet. In between these half-yearly updates, officers monitor the financial situation and will bring issues to Members' attention as required.

Key assumptions used

Necessarily the 5 year forecast is based on assumptions about the future. Below are the key assumptions used. Members should be comfortable that the assumptions are reasonable, neither unduly optimistic or pessimistic.

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 2 Item 13iv/3

Government Funding

- Government funding has always had a degree of unpredictability about it, with Formula Grant levels not confirmed until the end of January prior to the financial year to which it relates, and funding under other schemes often not confirmed until just prior to the start of the year, after budgets are set. However, uncertainty about Government funding is currently at an unprecedented high level.
- There no firm information available to estimate funding levels from 2013, and the Government is carrying out a root and branch review of local government funding such that basic tenets and principles no longer apply.
- 13 Core funding currently comes by way of **Formula Grant**, which is just under £2.8 million for 2012/13. There is no information about Formula Grant from 2013/14 onwards; there is a real possibility that it will be reduced, and in due course, phased out altogether.
- In its place is likely to be a strong emphasis on rewarding councils whose localities have experienced housing growth and economic development. Councils will have a direct financial incentive to ensure such growth and development, equally, they could see their funding reduced if there is no such growth.
- New Homes Bonus rewards local authorities for housing growth. It is a six year scheme, with 2012/13 being year two. In summary, councils receive a sum equivalent to the average English Council Tax bill, around £1,500, for each new dwelling (Band D equivalents). For example, if there are 333 new dwellings arising in the year, the council concerned would receive £500,000 in New Homes Bonus per year until the end of the scheme. This is compounded as illustrated in the table below.

UDC New Homes Bonus payments £000	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Housing growth year ending Oct 2010	714	714	714	714	714	714
Housing growth year ending Oct 2011		534	534	534	534	534
Housing growth year ending Oct 2012			500	500	500	500
Housing growth year ending Oct 2013				500	500	500
Housing growth year ending Oct 2014					500	500
Housing growth year ending Oct 2015						500
Total New Homes Bonus received	714	1,248	1,748	2,248	2,748	3,248

Despite the significant projected increases in New Homes Bonus funding, it is not realistic to expect the Council to realise the full benefit of these increases. The Government has not allocated sufficient funding to meet the commitments arising from the New Homes Bonus scheme, and has stated that any shortfalls will be met from Formula Grant from 2013/14. The MTFS assumes that there will be reductions in Formula Grant from 2013/14, to pay for New Homes Bonus.

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 3 Item 13iv/4

- 17 The core assumption in the MTFS is that the base amount of total funding receivable by the Council from the two sources Formula Grant and New Homes Bonus, will be equivalent to the total level in 2012/13 i.e. there shall be no net increase in funding.
- Furthermore, the MTFS needs to estimate what the Government may do in the 3rd and 4th years of the present Spending Review period, 2013/14 and 2014/15. Deficit reduction remains the key priority for the Government. In the first two years of the Spending Review period, the Council's Formula Grant was cut by 28%, one of the most severe reductions in the country. It is prudent to assume that further reductions will be made in the subsequent two years. The MTFS assumes a reduction in the total of Formula Grant and New Homes Bonus of 10% per year for 2013/14 and 2014/15.
- The Council could be financially vulnerable in the event of low housing growth or Government reform of the scheme, or lack of continuation beyond 2016/17.
- Localisation of Business Rates is another aspect of the Government's reforms that will see adjustments to Formula Grant from 2013/14 resulting from changes to the level of business rates income. At present all business rates collected are transferred to the Government. From 2013/14, councils will keep a share of any increase in business rates above a baseline level (to be determined), and similarly, will be required to make good any reductions in business rates below the baseline. This provides another direct financial incentive to councils to ensure economic growth.
- The Government intends to operate a complex set of baseline adjustments, top-ups, tariffs, levies, thresholds and re-sets so that no individual council is unjustly enriched or penalised under the scheme. Exactly how this will operate is not yet clear and it is not possible to estimate the effect on UDC. At this stage therefore, the MTFS assumes that the effect of business rates localisation will be neutral.
- It would be a prudent manoeuvre to establish some form of contingency reserve against fluctuations arising from business rates localisation. This has been factored in to the MTFS at a provisional £150,000 per year from 2013/14. There is a possibility of pooling arrangements with other councils being set up that will mitigate risk. When more information is available about such arrangements, an assessment can be made of the degree to which UDC should maintain its own contingency funds.
- The effect of the assumptions above is to assume that the total Government funding, in whatever combination of Formula Grant and New Homes Bonus may arise, will reduce but not fall below the predicted level of New Homes Bonus for 2016/17, as shown in the summary table below.

£000	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Formula Grant New Homes Bonus	2,767 1,248				
Business rates adjustment		0	0	0	0
Total	4,015	3,614	3,253	3,253	3,253
		10% reduction	Further 10% reduction	No change	No change and in line with estimated total NHB as per table above

Council Tax and Council Tax Freeze Grant

- In recent years the Government's policy has been to strongly encourage councils to minimise Council Tax increases.
- Decisions about each annual increase will be taken in the February of each year according to the needs and circumstances arising at that time. Having taken informal guidance from the Finance Portfolio Holder, the MTFS includes a planning assumption that the district council tax will be frozen in 2012/13, and will be increased by 2.5% per annum thereafter.
- 26 <u>The MTFS also assumes an annual taxbase growth of 1%</u>, consistent with recent experience.
- 27 The table below shows projected Council Tax income over the MTFS period.

	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Council Tax Base (Band D equivalents)	33,732	34,069	34,410	34,754	35,102
District Council Tax (Band D)	£147.42	£151.11	£154.89	£158.76	£162.73
Increase on prior year	£0.00	£3.69	£3.78	£3.87	£3.97
Council Tax Income (£000)	4,973	5,148	5,330	5,518	5,712

In 2011/12, the Government introduced a new Council Tax Freeze Grant to compensate councils who froze their Council Tax. The grant was equivalent to the amount of income foregone assuming a 2.5% increase, and is payable for 4 years, with 2012/13 being year 2. In addition, a one off Council Tax Freeze Grant for 2012/13 has been announced, again equivalent to a 2.5% increase, but payable for one year only. The MTFS assumes there will be no further awards of Council Tax Freeze Grant.

£000	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Council Tax Freeze Grant 2011/12	123	123	123	0	0
Council Tax Freeze Grant 2012/13	125	0	0	0	0
Total	248	123	123	0	0

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 5 Item 13iv/6

Reform of Council Tax Benefit

- Council Tax Benefit (CTB) is currently a national scheme that councils run on the Government's behalf. The Government sets the rules on eligibility, and reimburses councils for the cost of CTB claims processed correctly.
- From 2013/14, the Government intends to abolish CTB and require district councils to develop local schemes in the form of Council Tax discounts. The Government will fund the scheme, but with a 10% cut in funding compared with CTB. Whether the 10% cut will be per authority or the average is not yet clear. The funding will be cash limited i.e. any increase in demand will be funded by councils, not the Government.
- 31 Council Tax discount schemes will be required to protect "pensioners" and "vulnerable people" (neither term is defined) and be designed in such a way as to incentivise low-incomed people not in work and claiming benefit to start working. Clearly this could have serious policy and reputational implications and represents a major challenge for the Council in 2012; new schemes have to be published by January 2013, for implementation from April 2013.
- In addition, the financial consequences could be profound; if the local scheme eligibility criteria are not robust, demand for the scheme could exceed expectations, with the costs borne by the council not the Government. (It is likely that cost sharing with other authorities would operate).
- Another risk is the increased resources required to collect Council Tax from households currently in receipt of CTB but may not qualify for a discount or a local scheme.
- Implementation costs will be a factor with IT system development and public consultation required during 2012. It is not yet clear that IT providers are able to deliver in line with the timetable the Government has set out.
- 35 Clearly this is a major financial uncertainty for the Council. The MTFS assumes that:
 - Expenditure under the local Council Tax discount scheme will be the same as for CTB, adjusted for annual taxbase and Council Tax rises
 - Government funding will be cut by 10% from 2013/14
 - Implementation costs will be met from reserves, such as the Housing Benefits reserve. (Neither the costs nor use of reserves are included in the figures).

Use of New Homes Bonus (NHB)

The Cabinet decided to allocate £500,000 from the 2011/12 NHB funding of £714,000 for one off community projects. The 2012/13 budget includes proposals to earmark £708,000 from the £1,248,000 allocation. The MTFS assumes that from 2013/14, New Homes Bonus will progressively supplant core Formula Grant funding, as explained above. Therefore, the Council's capacity to continue to earmark NHB for one off spend will reduce, and increasingly, NHB will be required to fund the Council's base budget. The MTFS includes the following assumptions.

£000	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Estimated New Homes Bonus award (as shown above)	714	1,248	1,748	2,248	2,748	3,248
Amount earmarked for community schemes & one off projects	500	708	500	300	100	0
Amount transferred to earmarked reserve	214	540	0	0	0	0
Amount used to fund Council budget	0	0	1,248	1,948	2,648	3,248

Inflation assumptions

- At time of writing, inflation (December 2011 figures) is at 4.8% (RPI) and 4.2% (CPI), but is falling. Analysts predict that inflation will continue to fall during 2012/13. In addition, the Government's target is to reduce inflation to 2%. The cost of fuel and utilities remain volatile, however, and subject to larger cost increases than general price inflation.
- The Government's pay policy for public sector employees is to cap increases at 1% per annum. For local government, national pay bargaining arrangements apply; there is no guarantee that Government pay policy will be applied. This is something that the Council has no control over.

MTFS assumptions	Approx value of each 1% change	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Pay award	£80,000	1%	1%	2%	2%
Staff pay increments	£80,000	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Supplies & services inflation (contractual indexation)	£28,000	3%	2.5%	2%	2%
Supplies & services inflation (other)	£26,000	2%	1.5%	1%	1%
Utilities & fuel	£6,000	10%	10%	10%	10%
Fees & charges income (except car parks)	£40,000	2%	2%	2%	2%
Car parks income	£8,500	0%	0%	0%	0%
Pension Fund Deficit contribution	£5,000	3%	3%	3%	3%
Housing Benefits (private)	£90,000	3%	2.5%	2%	2%
Housing Benefits (rent rebates)	£65,000	6%	5.5%	5%	5%
Council Tax Benefit (see above)	£40,000	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
DWP funding for benefits (see above)	£195,000	In proportion with total benefits spend; £400,000 reduction re. CTB	In proportion with total benefits spend	In proportion with total benefits spend	In proportion with total benefits spend

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 7 Item 13iv/8

Other assumptions

- Capital financing costs to increase by £100,000 per annum as the Council will become dependent upon external borrowing to finance the Capital Programme.
- 40 Recharge of costs & overheads to the HRA will remain constant.
- Investment income will remain constant for first 3 years then start to increase from 2015/16 in line with interest rate forecasts.
- The Collection Fund balance will be zero from 2013/14 onwards (currently £10,000 deficit).
- The Working Balance will be maintained at a level equivalent to the sum of 2% of expenditure and 2% of income.

5 year financial model

Based upon the draft 2012/13 budget being presented for approval and the assumptions detailed above, a five-year forecast has been prepared and is summarised below.

£000	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
Service Expenditure	33,464	34,470	35,525	36,672	37,700
Service Income	-25,428	-25,901	-26,769	-27,545	-28,350
Net Service Expenditure	8,036	8,569	8,756	9,127	9,350
Corporate Items (net)	85	64	178	376	44
Formula Grant	-2,767				
New Homes Bonus	-1,248				
Government funding		-3,614	-3,253	-3,253	-3,25
Use of New Homes Bonus	708	500	300	100	
Transfer to/from(-) New Homes Bonus reserve	540	0	0	0	
Transfer to Business Rates contingency	0	150	150	150	15
Transfers to/from(-) other Earmarked Reserves	-202	25	81	2	8
Transfer from Budget Equalization Reserve	-179	-146	-232	-184	-15
Council Tax Requirement	4,973	5,548	5,980	6,318	6,61
Council Tax income	-4,973	-5,148	-5,330	-5,518	-5,71
Savings Required	0	400	650	800	90

The summary shows that additional savings of £900,000 per annum need to be secured by 2016/17. This can be phased in during the next few years, with use of the Budget Equalization Reserve.

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 8 Item 13iv/9

- There is some flexibility given that the use of New Homes Bonus is entirely discretionary. For example, the budget could be balanced in 2013/14 by deciding to allocate £100,000 instead of £500,000.
- It is emphasised again that major uncertainty underpins these forecasts, in particular relating to Government funding as discussed above.
- The Council's capacity to achieve the level of savings necessary is discussed below under "Strategic Solutions".

Reserves

- The Council is required to establish reserves to meet expected future financial commitments and to ensure a safe level of contingency in the event of unforeseen events.
- The Council's policy, established in previous iterations of the MTFS, is to ensure that a Working Balance is maintained at a minimum safe contingency level equivalent to the sum of 2% of expenditure and 2% of income; around £1.2 million. This is subject to annual advice provided by the Section 151 Officer under Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003.
- Based upon the projected use of reserves included within the five year budget model, the following table sets out the forecasted reserve balances during the period covered by the MTFS.

31-Mar-11	31-Mar-12	31-Mar-13	31-Mar-14	31-Mar-15	31-Mar-16	31-Mar-17
1.181	1.181	1.214	1.240	1.281	1.323	1.363
813	1,255	1,005	1,005	1,005	1,005	1,005
348	308	279	279	279	279	279
702	-	-	-	-	-	-
222	220	150	150	150	150	150
9	9	9	9	9	9	9
139	92	41	-	-	-	-
62	26	46	66	86	26	46
42	2	2	2	2	2	2
155	346	346	346	346	346	346
25	45	65	85	105	125	145
-	214	754	754	754	754	754
-	-	-	150	300	450	600
-	-	125	125	125	125	125
1,499	1,400	1,221	1,075	843	659	503
5,197	5,098	5,257	5,286	5,285	5,253	5,327
					Management	
	813 348 702 222 9 139 62 42 155 25 - - 1,499 S,197	813 1,255 348 308 702 - 222 220 9 9 9 139 92 62 26 42 2 155 346 25 45 - 214 1,499 1,400 5,197 5,098	813 1,255 1,005 348 308 279 702 - - 222 220 150 9 9 9 139 92 41 62 26 46 42 2 2 155 346 346 25 45 65 - 214 754 - - 125 1,499 1,400 1,221 5,197 5,098 5,257 Ijustment required and balance on the results	813 1,255 1,005 1,005 348 308 279 279 702 - - - 222 220 150 150 9 9 9 9 139 92 41 - 62 26 46 66 42 2 2 2 155 346 346 346 25 45 65 85 - 214 754 754 - - 150 125 1,499 1,400 1,221 1,075 5,197 5,098 5,257 5,286	813 1,255 1,005 1,005 1,005 348 308 279 279 279 702 - - - - 222 220 150 150 150 9 9 9 9 9 139 92 41 - - 62 26 46 66 86 42 2 2 2 2 155 346 346 346 346 25 45 65 85 105 - 214 754 754 754 - - 150 300 - - 125 125 125 1,499 1,400 1,221 1,075 843 5,197 5,098 5,257 5,286 5,285	813 1,255 1,005 1,005 1,005 1,005 348 308 279 279 279 279 702 - - - - - - - 222 220 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 9 2 2

The table shows that total reserves stay reasonably constant during the MTFS period. The Working Balance increases steadily in line with established policy and a Business Rates contingency reserve is established, with the Budget Equalization Reserve reducing.

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 9 Item 13iv/10

Strategic Solutions Workstreams

- In 2009 the five workstreams were established and from 2010 a small corporate team was set up to secure the savings needed by the MTFS.
- The workstreams have been successful to date, with cumulative annual savings of just under £1.9 million delivered for 2012/13. This is close the £2m savings target identified in previous iterations of the MTFS.
- There is more to do however; cost inflation and decisions to make service investment erode the net savings position. The five year model show that additional savings of up to £900,000 are needed.
- The workstreams table has been updated and is attached to this report. It shows that work is in hand or planned to deliver a level of savings slightly in excess of what is required. This is a sensible position as inevitably, some projects will not proceed as intended or may not deliver the expected level of savings. Also, unexpected cost pressures may arise, increasing the savings target.
- 57 The priorities for implementation are as follows:
 - Implementing changes to the Waste service
 - Achieving a successful outcome from tendering the recycling gate fees contract
 - Securing Revenues & Benefits savings
 - Successful implementation of the IDOX ICT project.
 - Museum efficiency savings
- The Council maintains a Change Management reserve to meet the one off costs of delivering the projects.

Decision making

- Inevitably during the period of the MTFS, resource allocation decisions will be required based upon changing circumstances and priorities. Some budgets will need to be increased, and some reduced.
- The Council will seek to safeguard those services that it considers to be highest priority as stated in the Corporate Plan.
- The Council may make savings in priority areas only if there is no significant adverse impact to quality and level of service provision. For example, the Council may find a more efficient means of delivering the service, or partnership funding may be secured. Otherwise, the Council will not make savings that result in diminution in service quality in these areas unless there is absolutely no alternative e.g. inability to balance the budget.

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 10 Item 13iv/11

- The Council acknowledges the need to provide statutory services, and in many cases these will be consistent with Corporate Plan priorities. Where the link between the need to provide a statutory service and Corporate Plan priorities is not as strong, the Council will provide a level of service consistent with affordability. Efficiency gains and partnership working will be explored as means of providing statutory services to an acceptable level at a lower cost. In some circumstances, the Council will consider reducing the level of service in order to make savings and redirect resources to the Council's highest priorities.
- Subject to the above, unavoidable and essential growth items will be funded by the making of savings from elsewhere within the Council budget, or the generation of additional income. The Council will manage its budget as a corporate whole, if necessary transferring money from one activity to another if this is what is necessary to match limited resources to the highest priorities.
- In ordinary circumstances the Council will not use reserves to fund any ongoing expenditure. In exceptional circumstances, the Council may use reserves for one-off items or to alleviate budget pressures within the context of an overall plan to achieve a balanced budget but will seek opportunities to replenish reserves consumed in this way.

Risk Analysis

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating actions
Actual experience differs from the assumptions in the budget and business plan	3 some variation is inevitable	3 sums involved are potentially significant	Ensure MTFS has element of flexibility Robust monitoring Half yearly review by Cabinet

- 1 = Little or no risk or impact
- 2 = Some risk or impact action may be necessary.
- 3 = Significant risk or impact action required
- 4 = Near certainty of risk occurring, catastrophic effect or failure of project.

	STRA	ATEGIC SOLUTIONS UPDATED FEBR			AMS			
	Green shading	Saving secured and implemente	rd					
	No shading, italics	Decision made, awaiting implem	and the second s					
		Examples	2011/12 £000	2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000	2014/15 £000	2015/16 £000	2016/17 £000
Workstream 1:	Joining with other	Revenues and Benefits		30	190	190	190	190
Shared Services	local authorities to							
	deliver services in partnership and							
	share costs or							
	outsource to the							
	private sector	Maukatua ana Tatal		30	400	190	190	400
		Workstream Total	-	30	190	190	190	190
Workstream 2:	Transferring	TIC	10	10	10	10	10	10
Devolution	services and assets to town/parish	Community assets SWTC	12 10	12 20	12 30	12 40	12 50	12 50
	councils with UDC	Toilets Thaxted	10	20	30	23	23	23
		Workstream Total	32	42	52	85	95	95
Workstream 3:	Maximising revenue	Car park tariff		114	114	114	114	114
Income Generation		Building Control	51	51	51	51	51	51
		Pest Control			11	22	22	22
		Green Waste income Recycling gate fees		64 190	200 190	200 190	200 190	200 190
		Office rental		190	25	50	50	50
		Workstream Total	51	419	591	627	627	627
Workstream 4:	Stopping providing	Supaloos	71	71	71	71	71	71
Service Reduction	services or	Gt Dunmow office sale	22	22	22	22	22	22
	reducing	Museum	30	40	60	77	77	77
	contributions	Toilets Felsted	5	5	5 16	5 16	5 16	5
		Solid Waste Workstream Total	16 144	16 154	174	191	191	16 191
Workstream 5:	Ensuring that	Allowances	34	102	169	228	249	249
Worksueam 5.	overheads are	Budget Slack	63	63	63	63	63	63
Efficiency savings		Building Control		86	86	86	86	86
	current business needs and size of	Communications	20	19	19 30	19 30	19 30	19 30
	the Council	Concessionary Travel Credit cards	30	30 23	23	23	23	23
		CSC (R&B)	28	28	63	63	63	63
		External Audit	04	56	56	56	56	56
		Finance (R&B) Grounds Maintenance	24	24 38	24 38	24 38	24 38	24 38
		ICT (R&B)	39	79	79	79	79	79
		ldox	10	07	85	85	155	155
		Legal (R&B) Mailroom (R&B)	19 6	27 6	34 11	34 11	34 11	34 11
		Planning		47	47	47	47	47
		Printroom (R&B)	11	11	21	21	21	21
		Senior management Trade Waste	94	180 31	180 31	180 31	180 31	180 31
		Waste General		101	213	323	323	323
		Waste ZBB	266	266	266	266	266	266
		Workstream Total	614	1,217	1,538	1,707	1,798	1,798
		cy savings (as per budget)		1,862				
	Additional savings ic	lentified in 2012/13 budget		1,021				
Fo	recasted cumulative	annual efficiency savings			2,545	2,800	2,901	2,901
Additi	onal cumulative ann	ual savings above 2012/13			683	938	1,039	1,039
	S	avings target as per MTFS			400	650	800	900
		Headroom / flexibility			283	288	239	139

Author: Stephen Joyce Page 12 Item 13iv/13